(b) if so, the States which have diverted the tribal funds for other work?

THE MINISTER OF TRIBAL AFFAIRS (SHRI JUAL ORAM): (a) No, Sir. The State Govts. are utilizing the funds as per the provisions of respective schemes. In case they are not able to fully utilize the funds they carry forward the unutilized funds funds to the subsequent years, under the respective scheme.

(b) No report about diversion of funds against the grants-in-aid released by Ministry of Tribal Affairs has been received.

Funds to Himachal Pradesh

851. SHRI ANIL SHARMA: Will the Minister of TRIBAL AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) the details of the funds allocated to Himachal Pradesh during this year under various schemes for construction of roads, bridges and culverts in hilly and far flung areas;

(b) whether additional funds are proposed to be allocated in view of its historical background; and

(c) if so, the details thereof and it not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF TRIBAL AFFAIRS (SHRI JUAL ORAM): (a) to (c) The entitlement of the State of Himachal Pradesh, under Article 275(1) of the Constitution of India during the financial year of 2003-2004 for creation of infrastructure, including roads, bridges and culverts in hilly and far flung areas, is Rs. 80.00 lakhs. The States are entitled to get funds over and above the entitlement under Article 275(1) for innovative projects sanctioned on a case to case basis, subject of fulfillment of conditions laid down in the guidelines.

Development of small and medium towns

†852. SHRIMATI KUM KUM RAI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have started any scheme for the all round development of the small and medium towns in the country;

(b) if so, the cities in Bihar selected under this scheme; and –

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(c) the amount provided by Government to the State for the development of cities?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI PON RADHAKRISHNAN): (a) Yes, Sir. A Centrally Sponsored Scheme of Integrated Development of Small & Medium Towns (IDSMT) and Accelerated Urban Water Supply Programme (AUWSP) have been in operation since 1979-80 and March, 1994 respectively, for the integrated development of small and medium towns in the country and for providing water supply to towns having less than 20000 population as per 1991 census.

(b) and (c) 36 towns of Bihar have been covered under IDSMT Scheme and a Central assistance amounting to Rs. 1147.38 lakhs has been released till 16.7.2003, the details of which are given in the enclosed Statement-1 *(See* below).

Out of 1 eligible towns falling in bifurcated Bihar as per 1991 Census, a total of 20 schemes have been approved under the AUWSP Scheme till date, the details of schemes approved along with approved costs are given in the enclosed Statement-ll.

Statement-I

Towns covered under IDSMT from Bihar since inception (1979-80)

SI. No.	Town/City	Year of Coverage	CA released *(Rs. in lakhs)*
1	2	3	*A*
1.	Hajipur	6th Plan	42.18
2.	Gopalganj	6th Plan	40.15
3.	Saharsa	6th Plan	36.04
4.	Chapra	6th Plan	20.00
5.	Begusarai	6th Plan	40.00
6.	Arrah	6th Plan	39.00
7.	Bettia	6th Plan	40.87
8.	Katihar	6th Plan	37.70
9.	Swan	7th Plan	51.22

1	2	3	4
10.	Kishanganj	7th Plan	31.43
11.	Sitamarhi	7th Plan	10.50
12.	Buxar	7th Plan	20.00
13.	Purnia	7th Plan	25.86
14.	Nawada	7th Plan	44.39
15.	Bodhgaya	7th Plan	20.00
16.	Madhubani	7th Plan	29.55
17.	Biharsharif	7th Plan	29.75
18.	Darbhanga	7th Plan	29.75
19.	Jahanabad	1990-91	27.50
20.	Banka	1991-92	15.00
21.	Munger	1994-95	36.00
22.	Muzaffarpur	1995-96	30.00
23.	Bhagalpur	1995-96	30.00
24.	Rajgir	1995-96	20.00
25.	Gaya	1996-97	20.00
26.	Supaul	1996-97	15.00
27.	Sheohar	1996-97	10.00
28.	Forbesganj	1996-97	84.99
29.	Madhepura	1998-99	10.00
30.	Raxaul	1998-99	10.00
31.	Araria	2000-01	15.00
32.	Khagaria	2000-01	15.00
33.	Narkatiaganj	2001-02	41.00
34.	Aurangabad	2001-02	45.00
35.	Bhabhun	2001 -02	44.50
36.	Darbhanga	2002-03	90.00
	TOTAL :		1147.38

Statement-ll

Schemes approved under AUWSP

State: BIHAR Status : 22.7.2003

A. Projects Sanctioned *(Rs. in lakhs)*

SI. No.	Name of Town Expenditure	District	Population (1991 Census)	Sanctioned Date Mon./Yr.	Project Cost (Rs. in lakhs)	Per Capita Cost (In Rs.)	Central Share (Rs. lakhs)	Funds Released By. G.O.I.	By State	III
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1.	Janakpur Road	Sitamarhi	9564	March, 96	60.12	628.61	30.06	307.37	345.53	45.06
2.	Seohar	Sitamarhi	13898	March, 96	77.77	559.58	38.89	(Cumm-ulative	(Cumm-ulative)	57.88
3.	Mohiuddin Nagar*	Samastipur	11002	March, 96	95.25	865.75	47.63	released up	—	62.62
4.	Amarpur	Banka	18500	Feb., 99	90.75	490 54	45.38	to 2000-2001)		89.92
5.	Kowath	Rohtas	13534	Feb., 99	81.56	602.63	40.78	0.00		81.56
6.	Hisua	Nawada	18614	Feb., 99	204.10	1096.49	102.05	(2001-2002)		164.97
7.	Rafiganj	Aurangabad	18530	April, 99	124.72	673.07	62.36	419.05		114.53
8.	Jamhor	Aurangabad	6741	April, 99	37.17	551.40	18.59	(2002-2003)		34.40
9.	Nasariganj	Rohtas	16688	March, 2002	108.56 @@	650.53	54.28	17.67		0.02
10.	Nokha	Rohtas	16601	March, 2002	144.15@ @	868.32	72.08	(2003-2004)		0.02
11.	Mariarajganj	Siwan	17115	March, 2002	147.26©©	860.41	73.63			0.02
12.	Motipur	Muzaffarpur	17637	March, 2002	246.08 @	1395.25	123.04			002
13.	Janipur	Patna	6618	Feb., 2003	70.69	1068.15	35.35			0.00
14.	Mirganj	Gopalganj	19674	May, 2003	160.14	813.97	80.07			—
15.	Chanpatia	Champaran	19326	May, 2003	123.77	640.43	61.89			—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
16.	Kanti	Muzatfarpur	16026	May. 2003	291.93	1821.60	145.97			—
17.	Piro	Bhojpur	18392	May. 2003	185.92	1010.87	92.96			—
18.	Khushrupur	Patna	10259	May. 2003	126.75	1235.50	63.38			—
19.	Behia	Bhojpur	17069	May, 2003	178.68	1046.81	8934			—
20.	Dalsinghsarai	Samastipur	16739	May. 2003	124.00	740.78	62.00			—
			302527		2679.37		1339.69	744.09	345.53	651.02
									(Cummulative)	

@@ — Revised Cost.

— W/S started by Direct pumping

N.B. — Rs. 606.72 lakhs were released to the undivided State of Bihar up to March. 2001. The respective share of Bihar & Jharkhand works out to Rs. 307.37 lakhs and Rs. 299.35 lakhs respectively

B. Schemes under Scrutiny

SI. No	Name of Town	District	Population	Project Cost	Remarks
	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	